

CCV Stormwater Management Committee Meeting
March 16, 2021 7:00-8:30 PM

In Attendance: Julie Sparacino, Jana Coe, David Goldwyn, Elise Pas, Kevin Cannard, Nancy Somerville, Peter Marks, Bruce Hebbard, Todd Eskelsen, Tom McCarty, David DiMartino (resident), and Catherine Schempp (resident). Absent: Tony Salah, Paul Kempton

- I. Initiation of the meeting - quorum (6 members) was noted and the meeting was initiated.
- II. Approval of minutes for 3.2.21 meeting
- III. Updates on Town Council Budget meeting (Pete)
 - A. David sent the recommendations to Pete Marks to share with the council to consider the budgetary implications.
 - B. Budget work group met last night and reviewed the draft SWMC report recommendations. The Town Council has made room in the budget for a study (recommended by this group) and recommendations from Clark/Azar study for improvements.
- IV. Update on Town Survey, State legislation
 - A. Data on the town survey are not yet ready for the report- hoping to have information in a week. There were 99 respondents (out of 310 residents) and 76 endorsed some flooding impact (e.g., some may be from September but others may have been in longer time frames)- Jana will clarify that for us and then the report will be updated.
 - B. Delegate Carr proposed an amendment to a state bill for a stream water study of Montgomery County- there should be a letter on Monday, March 22nd.
- V. Review of draft report
 - A. Beginning with a review of the executive summary and then will go page-by-page through the report.
 - B. Executive summary discussions: consistency of use of stormwater (not "storm water"); discussion about the presentation of survey results in paragraph 1; language about the infrastructure in paragraph 3 and the needed distinction between drainage and stormwater management; discussion about the ordering and language of the findings; as well as wording suggestions for recommendation.
 1. Additional content for the engineering study recommendation proposed by David: *These options should include gray and green infrastructure improvements that are within CCV's authorized area of control, infrastructure improvements that could be taken at the County and Town levels and voluntary measures homeowners could take to materially slow the flow of water during high intensity storms, including an approximate cost of those measures.*

- C. Review of report content: discussion about the survey results and appropriately presenting them-and filling in of missing information.
 - D. SWMC members were asked to make final edits in track changes.
 - E. Lingering questions: any specific formatting for the report? Any ideas on report title?
- VI. Review of draft town presentation
 - A. Lingering questions: how long for the presentation? (time may dictate trims needed)
 - B. Reviewed all slides and finalized the visuals needed and re-organized presentation of the recommendations.
- VII. Resident questions- None
- VIII. Next meeting: tentatively set for next Tuesday, March 23, 2021 at 7-8:30 (if report is finalized)
- IX. Adjournment